

---

# **Shiga toxin encoding bacteriophages and horizontal gene transfer in *Escherichia coli* O157**

**Eby Mazini Sim**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy



July 2016

---

## **Certificate of Authorship/Originality**

I certify that the work presented in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the written preparation of the thesis, and all experimental work associated with it, has been carried out solely by me, unless otherwise indicated.

Finally, I certify that all information sources and literature used are acknowledged in the text.

---

Eby Mazini Sim

# Acknowledgements

My journey as a PhD student, starting from The University of Queensland, Brisbane and the subsequent relocation to The University of Technology, Sydney, has been challenging, exciting and immensely rewarding. However, this thesis would not have been possible without the support and guidance from a number of amazing people along the way. First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge my principal supervisor Dr. Nico Petty. Nico not only supervised the work presented in this thesis and provided feedback on this thesis, she also guided and encouraged me through these years. Her passion for research is truly inspiring. I would also like to acknowledge my co-supervisor Dr. Kari Gobius. In spite of his responsibilities at the CSIRO, Kari always made time to mentor me. Both of my supervisors contributed enormously to my development as a scientist and I couldn't have asked for a better supervisory team for my PhD journey.

A huge thank you to all past and present members of the Petty lab and colleagues in the CSIRO, who have made this journey an amazing and productive one: Glen Mellor, Robert Barlow, Lesley Duffy, Kate McMillian, Salma Lahjar, Tuan Nyguen, Neralle Fegan, Scott Chandry, Leanne Haggerty, Alejandro Marquez, Lucy Martindale and Dylan Mansfield.

To my family, especially my Mum, thank you for your words of support over all these years. I am particularly grateful for your encouragement of my PhD work as well as my aspirations. To Melissa Hamwood, thank you for being there for me in both the good times and the hard times and for supporting me through this journey.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge the financial assistance from the UQ International Scholarship awarded during my stay at UQ, the UTS International Research Scholarship awarded during my stay at UTS as well as funding from the CSIRO.

# Content page

<b>Certificate of Authorship/Originality .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Content page.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Research outputs.....</b>	<b>xii</b>
Publications.....	xii
Conference proceedings.....	xii
Book Chapter .....	xii
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Bacteriophages.....	1
1.1.1 The structure of tailed phages .....	2
1.1.2. Phage genomes.....	4
1.1.3. Phage life cycle .....	5
1.1.3.1 Lytic life cycle .....	6
1.1.3.2. Lysogenic life cycle .....	7
1.1.3.3. Pseudolysogeny.....	9
1.1.3.4. Satellite phages- a subset of temperate phages .....	10
1.1.4 Coevolution of phages and bacteria.....	10
1.1.4.1. Inhibition of phage adsorption .....	10
1.1.4.2. Preventing DNA entry .....	11
1.1.4.3. CRISPR-Cas system .....	11
1.1.4.4. Restriction-modification systems.....	12
1.1.4.5. Abortive infection .....	12
1.1.5. Phages and horizontal gene transfer .....	13
1.1.5.1 Transduction .....	14
1.1.5.1.1. Generalised transduction.....	14
1.1.5.1.2. Specialised transduction.....	15
1.1.5.2. Lysogenic conversion .....	16
1.2. <i>Escherichia coli</i> .....	17
1.3. <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157.....	18
1.3.1. Transmission of STEC O157 .....	19
1.3.2. Geographical variation in STEC O157 related disease.....	20
1.3.3. Diversity of STEC O157.....	21
1.3.4. Virulence factors of STEC O157 strains .....	23
1.3.4.1. The STEC O157 specific plasmid pO157.....	23
1.3.4.2 Locus of Enterocyte Effacement pathogenicity island .....	24
1.3.4.3. Shiga toxin .....	25
1.4. Shiga toxin-encoding phages .....	27
1.4.1. Stx phage genomes .....	27
1.4.2. Horizontal gene transfer and Stx phages .....	32

1.4.3. Induction of Stx phages .....	33
1.4.4. Persistence in the environment .....	33
1.4.5. Stx phage host range .....	33
1.4.6. The impact of Stx prophages on their bacterial host.....	36
1.4.7. Insertion sites of Stx phages .....	37
1.5. Australian STEC O157 and Stx phages .....	38
1.6. Objectives of this study.....	39
<b>2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>40</b>
2.1. Media, supplements and solutions .....	40
2.2. Bacterial strains, phages and culture conditions .....	41
2.3. Bacterial phenotype test.....	44
2.3.1. Differentiation of STEC O157 from other bacteria .....	44
2.3.2. Stx production.....	44
2.4. Phage methods .....	44
2.4.1. Isolation of Stx phages.....	44
2.4.2. Phage concentration and purification.....	45
2.4.2.1. Isopycnic ultracentrifugation .....	45
2.4.2.1. Ultrafiltration .....	45
2.4.3. Phage quantification.....	46
2.4.3.1. Double agar overlay plaque assay.....	46
2.4.3.1. Semi quantitative spot test .....	46
2.4.4. Test for lysogeny.....	46
2.4.4.1. Lysogenic conversion of bacterial strains on solid media .....	46
2.4.4.2. Lysogenic conversion of bacterial strains in liquid culture .....	47
2.4.5. Transduction assay.....	47
2.4.6. Phage electron microscopy .....	48
2.5. DNA work.....	48
2.5.1. Primers .....	48
2.5.2. PCR.....	51
2.5.2.1. Colony PCR .....	52
2.5.2.2. Quantitative PCR .....	52
2.5.2.4. Purification of PCR products .....	53
2.5.3. Gel electrophoresis.....	53
2.5.4. DNA extraction.....	53
2.5.5. DNA sequencing.....	54
2.6. Bioinformatics methods .....	54
2.6.1. Sequence quality control.....	54
2.6.2. Genome assembly .....	54
2.6.4. Genome annotation .....	55
2.6.5. Comparative genomic analyses.....	55
<b>3. Characterisation of Stx1 phages from Australian <i>E. coli</i> O157 clinical isolates .....</b>	<b>56</b>
3.1. Introduction.....	56
3.2. Results.....	56
3.2.1. Host genetic background affects Shiga toxin production .....	56
3.2.2. Stx1 phages belong to the <i>Podoviridae</i> family .....	58
3.2.3. Assembly of the Stx1 phage genomes .....	59

3.2.4. The genomes of phages AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1 .....	62
3.2.5. The genomes of AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1 are highly similar to each other and to Stx2a phages .....	64
3.2.6. Integration of the Stx1 phages occurs at the 5' end of tRNA- <i>argW</i> .....	70
3.2.7. Host recognition by the Stx1 phages .....	72
3.2.8. Possible site specific insertion of the <i>stx</i> operon into Stx phages .....	79
3.3. Discussion .....	81
3.3.1. Both host and phage genetic background influences Stx1 production. ....	82
3.3.2. Structure of the Podovirus Stx phage .....	82
3.3.3. First mention of Stx phages integrating into the 5' end of a tRNA .....	84
3.3.4. The possibility for alternative tail fibres as an explanation of broad host range .....	85
3.3.5. Site-specific insertion of the <i>stx</i> operon .....	87
3.4. Conclusion .....	89
<b>4. Characterisation of Stx prophages in non-clinical Australian isolates of <i>E. coli</i> O157 .....</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	91
4.2. Results .....	91
4.2.1. Variation in toxin and phage production in the three STEC O157 strains .....	91
4.2.2. Sequencing and assembly of the Stx prophages of EC14, EC571 and EC2441 .....	93
4.2.3. Comparison of the Stx prophages of EC14, EC571 and EC2441 .....	94
4.2.4. Comparison of the Stx1 prophages of EC14 and EC571 to known Stx phages .....	98
4.2.5. Comparison of the Stx2c prophages of EC14, EC571 and EC2441 to known Stx phage genomes .....	102
4.2.6. Translational frameshifting predicted within tail genes .....	105
4.2.7. The insertion site of the Stx2c prophages .....	109
4.2.8. DNA replication systems and predicted DNA packaging of the Stx2c prophages .....	111
4.2.9. The three Stx2c prophages carry a cargo gene which provides adaptation to magnesium starvation .....	112
4.2.10. The Stx2c prophages encode an anti-repression operon .....	112
4.3. Discussion .....	115
4.3.1. A dominant inducible Stx prophage within a bacterial strain harbouring two Stx prophages .....	115
4.3.2. Disruptions by IS elements contribute to variations in toxin production .....	117
4.3.3. DNA packaging and tail formation in the Stx2c prophages .....	118
4.4. Conclusion .....	118
<b>5. Mobilisation of the Locus of Enterocyte Effacement by an Stx2a prophage .....</b>	<b>120</b>
5.1. Introduction .....	120
5.2. Results .....	120
5.2.1. Viability of phages induced from EC1810 .....	120
5.2.2. Morphology of the Stx2a prophage of EC1810 .....	121

5.2.3. Host range of 1810Stx2a.....	123
5.2.4. Transduction of $\Delta eae::cat$ to recipient <i>E. coli</i> strains.....	124
5.2.5. Presence of 1810Stx2a is required for further dissemination of $\Delta eae::cat$ .....	127
5.2.6. Optimisation of transduction protocol to determine if transduction into non-STEC O157 strains could occur .....	129
5.2.7. Repeat of transduction using optimised transduction protocol.....	131
5.2.8. Genome assembly of STEC O157 strain FNS9, an attenuated derivative of EC1810 .....	132
5.2.9. Genomic analysis of pp1810Stx2K .....	133
5.3. Discussion.....	138
5.3.1. A new DNA replication system for Stx phages.....	138
5.3.2. pp1810Stx2k carries genes that enhances its chances of infection.....	139
5.3.3. 1810Stx2a is likely to conform to the superinfection immunity paradigm .....	140
5.3.4. Evidence for transduction of the LEE.....	140
5.3.5. Mechanism of LEE mobilisation .....	141
5.4 Conclusions.....	144
<b>6. Final discussion .....</b>	<b>145</b>
6.1. Insights into the reduced virulence of Australian STEC O157.....	145
6.2. Broad host range of Stx phages leads to the potential of new Shiga toxin producing pathogens .....	148
6.3. Horizontal gene transfer: the possibility of more than just <i>stx</i> and phage encoded cargo genes .....	150
6.4. MITES as a possible cargo gene acquisition mechanism .....	152
6.5. Conclusions.....	153
<b>References.....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>184</b>
Appendix I: Functional annotation of AU5Stx1 .....	184
Appendix II: Functional annotation of AU6Stx1.....	187
Appendix III: Functional annotation of pp14Stx1 .....	189
Appendix IV: Functional annotation of pp571Stx1 .....	191
Appendix V: Functional annotation of pp14Stx2c .....	193
Appendix VI: Functional annotation of pp571Stx2c .....	195
Appendix VII: Functional annotation of pp2441Stx2c.....	197
Appendix VIII: Functional annotation of pp1810Stx2k .....	199

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Diversity of phages.....	2
Figure 1.2: Phage life cycles.....	5
Figure 1.3: Integration and excision of prophage.....	8
Figure 1.4: Mechanisms of horizontal gene transfer in bacteria.....	13
Figure 1.5: Overview of generalised transduction.....	15
Figure 1.6: Overview of specialised transduction.....	16
Figure 1.7: Annual incidence of STEC O157 related diseases.....	20
Figure 1.8: Stepwise evolution model of STEC O157.....	22
Figure 1.9: Graphical representation of the LEE pathogenicity island of STEC O157 strain Sakai.....	25
Figure 1.10: Organisation of the functional modules in the genomes of phage lambda and Stx phages.....	28
Figure 3.1: Comparison of Stx phage induction and Stx production in STEC O157 and K-12 lysogens.....	57
Figure 3.2: Morphology of the two Stx1 phages.....	59
Figure 3.3: Read coverage over assembly.....	60
Figure 3.4: Graphical visualisation of the 454ContigGraph.txt output file generated by Newbler.....	61
Figure 3.5: Stx1 phage genomes represented as linear genome in its prophage orientation.....	63
Figure 3.6: Pairwise comparison between AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1.....	66
Figure 3.7: Comparison of Stx1 phages to published phages.....	68
Figure 3.8: <i>att</i> sequence of the Stx1 phages AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1.....	72
Figure 3.9: Comparison of the tail tip protein of phage lambda, phage N15 and AU5Stx1.....	73
Figure 3.10: Signatures for +1 translational frameshift in tail fibre formation in the majority of known Stx phages.....	75
Figure 3.11: Subtypes of (A) cterm1, (B) cterm2 and (C) Pseudoknot sequences.....	77
Figure 3.12: Possible site specific insertion of the <i>stx</i> gene in the Stx phages.....	81
Figure 3.13: Proposed structure of the Podovirus Stx phage.....	84
Figure 4.1: Comparison of Stx production and selected gene copy numbers in three Australian STEC O157 strains.....	92
Figure 4.2: Pairwise comparison of the Stx prophages.....	95
Figure 4.3: Genome comparison of the Stx1 prophages to other Stx phages.....	100
Figure 4.4: Genomic comparison of the Stx2c prophages to other Stx phages.....	104
Figure 4.5: Comparison of the tail morphogenesis genes of pp571Stx2c, HK97 and lambda.....	105
Figure 4.6: Multiple sequence alignment of cterm1 subtypes.....	106
Figure 4.7: Sequences encoding tail fibres with alternative tips in Stx2c prophages.....	107
Figure 4.8: The genetic region encoding Stx2c phage tail assembly.....	108
Figure 4.9: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of the integrases of the Stx2c prophages.....	109
Figure 4.10: Schematic representation of the insertion site of Stx2c prophages.....	110



Figure 4.11: Stx2c prophages are the only Stx phages which possess an anti-repression operon. ....	113
Figure 4.12: Comparison between the Stx1 prophages of EC3206 and EC14. ....	115
Figure 5.1: Investigation of 1810Stx2a stability over time, in various storage conditions. ....	121
Figure 5.2: Morphology of 1810Stx2a. ....	122
Figure 5.3: Histogram showing the measurements of phage virions viewed under the TEM. ....	123
Figure 5.4: 1810Stx2a plaque formation on indicator strains. ....	124
Figure 5.5: Overview of transduction experiment. ....	126
Figure 5.6: PCR analysis of donor, recipients and transductants. ....	126
Figure 5.7: Detection of EC1810 cryptic prophage by PCR. ....	128
Figure 5.8: Schematic representation of transduction experiment to determine if 1810Stx2 is required for transduction. ....	129
Figure 5.9: Effect of divalent ion addition on rate of transduction. ....	130
Figure 5.10: Repeat of transduction experiments using optimised conditions. ....	132
Figure 5.11: Comparison of the chromosomes of STEC O157 strains FNS9 and Sakai. ....	133
Figure 5.12: Genome of pp1810Stx2K. ....	135
Figure 5.13: Protein folding prediction of the three unique genes carried by pp1810Stx2K. ....	138

# List of Tables

Table 1.1: Completely sequenced Stx phage genomes and Stx prophages (in complete bacterial genomes) available in the public databases (GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ). .....	30
Table 1.2: Host range studies of Stx phages .....	34
Table 2.1: Media and solutions .....	40
Table 2.2: Bacterial strains used in this study .....	41
Table 2.3: Antibiotics .....	43
Table 2.4: Primers used in this study .....	49
Table 3.1: Sequencing and assembly statistics for phages AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1. ...	59
Table 3.2: Summary of the annotated phage genomes of AU5Stx1 and AU6Stx1 .....	62
Table 3.3: Subtypes of Stx phage tail fibre C terminal domains and pseudoknots. ....	77
Table 3.4: Variant C terminal domains and pseudoknots in the genomes of <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> ICC168 and <i>Escherichia albertii</i> KF1 .....	79
Table 4.1: Genome assembly statistics for the STEC O157 strains EC14, EC571 and EC2441 .....	93
Table 4.2: Mean <i>stx</i> <sub>1</sub> gene copy number in inducing and non-inducing conditions. ...	96
Table 4.3: <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> genomes that harbour <i>ISEc20</i> . .....	101
Table 4.4: Variant C terminal domains and pseudoknots encoded in the tail fibre genes of the five Stx prophages. ....	106
Table 5.1: Susceptibility of bacterial strains to 1810Stx2K .....	124
Table 5.2: Frequency of transduction (transductants per pfu) of $\Delta eae::cat$ from FNS8 to FNS9 with varied MOI and cell numbers. ....	131
Table 5.3: Genome assembly statistics of STEC O157 strain FNS9 .....	133

# Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
<i>att</i>	Attachment site
bp	Base pair
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
CDS	Coding sequence
Cm	Chloramphenicol
Cfu	Colony forming unit
CRISPR	Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNTP	Deoxynucleotide
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
G+C	Guanine+Cytosine
gDNA	Genomic DNA
HGT	Horizontal gene transfer
HUS	Haemolytic uremic syndrome
IR	Inverted repeat
IS	Insertion sequence
Km	Kanamycin
kb	Kilo base
L	litre
LB	Luria broth
LEE	Locus of Enterocyte Effacement
M	Molar
mM	Millimolar
Mb	Mega base
ml	Millilitre
μl	Microlitre
MITE	Miniature Inverted-repeat Transposition Element
MLEE	Multi-Locus Enzyme Electrophoresis
MLVA	Multiple- Locus Variable Number Tandem Repeat
MMC	Mitomycin C
MOI	Multiplicity of infection
nm	Nanometre
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology information
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Phage	Bacteriophage
pfu	Plaque forming unit
qPCR	Quantitative Polymerase chain reaction
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
R-M	Restriction modification
Rif	Rifampicin
Sm	Streptomycin
SMRT	Single Molecule Real Time
SNP	Single Nucleotide Polymorphism
Stx	Shiga toxin
STEC	Shiga toxigenic <i>E. coli</i>

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
T3SS	Type 3 Secretion System
TA	Toxin-antitoxin
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
tRNA	Transfer ribonucleic acid
WT	Wildtype

# Research outputs

## Publications

**Sim, E. M.,** P. S. Chandry, S. A. Beatson, K. S. Gobius & N. K. Petty (2016). Insights into the emergence of pathogens by genomic analysis of Shiga toxin 1 encoding bacteriophages originating from clinical Australian *Escherichia coli* O157 isolates (Manuscript in preparation).

**Sim, E. M.,** K. S. Gobius & N. K. Petty (2016). Insights into the regulation of Australian Stx1 and Stx2c prophages in STEC O157 (Manuscript in preparation)

## Conference proceedings

**Sim, E.M.,** P. S. Chandry, S. A. Beatson, K. S. Gobius & N. K. Petty (January 2015) **POSTER.** Characterisation of Shiga Toxin 1 phages reveal insights for formation of new pathogens. *Bacteriophages 2015*, London, United Kingdom

**Sim, E.M.,** P. S. Chandry, S. A. Beatson, N. K. Petty & K. S. Gobius (September 2013) **ORAL PRESENTATION.** Characterisation of novel Shiga toxin 1 encoding bacteriophages originating from Australian *E. coli* O157 isolates. *BacPath 12*, Brisbane, Australia.

**Sim, E.M.,** N. K. Petty, T. J. Wells, M. Leitner, M. J. Sullivan, S. A. Beatson & K. S. Gobius (July 2012) **POSTER.** Phage mediated transfer of *Escherichia coli* O157 intimin gene (*eae*) encoded by the Locus of Enterocyte Effacement, *40<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Australian Society of Microbiology*, Brisbane, Australia.

## Book Chapter

Turner, D., J. M. Sutton, D. M. Reynolds, **E. M. Sim** & N. K. Petty (2015) Visualisation of phage genomic data: comparative genomics and publication-quality diagrams. In *Bacteriophages: Methods & Protocols*. A. M. Kropinski & M. R. J. Clokie (eds). Humana Press (Submitted).

# Abstract

Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC), most notably serotype O157, is a food borne pathogen of global public health concern. The progression to a severe disease state following an STEC infection is associated with the production of Shiga toxin (Stx). The ability to produce Stx is conferred upon STEC strains by Stx-encoding bacteriophages (Stx phages), which infect and integrate into the host bacterial genome. These phages carry either *stx*<sub>1</sub> or *stx*<sub>2</sub> genes which encode two immunologically distinct toxins with similar biological functions. However, not all STEC O157 strains are equally pathogenic as Australian STEC O157 strains, are associated with lower incidence of clinical disease than strains from other countries. This led to the aim of this thesis, which was to investigate how Stx phages differentially influence the virulence of STEC O157 strains

The characterisation of two Stx1 phages, originating from clinical Australian STEC O157 strains, revealed that these phages are morphologically and genomically similar to Stx2a phages. It was also observed that the bacterial host genetic background could influence toxin production. Genomic analysis revealed that these phages can potentially induce a translational frameshift with two overlapping tail-coding sequences with different host recognition domains, which may account for the broad host range of Stx phages leading to the emergence of new Stx producing pathogens. In addition, *in-silico* analysis also revealed a possible mechanism on how the phage obtained the *stx* gene by means of a Miniature Inverted Transposable element.

Stx prophages from three non-clinical Australian STEC O157 isolates were also characterised in this thesis. Two STEC O157 strains harboured both Stx1 and Stx2c prophages and it was observed in these strains that one Stx prophage was induced at a higher titre over the other. Genomic analysis predicted for the first time that the Stx2c phages package their DNA via cohesive ends. Further interrogation of the genomes also showed that two translational frameshift events, in different genes, are required for tail assembly and extension of host range respectively. In addition, each of the Stx2c prophages studied carried an anti-repression operon that may counteract the repression of the Stx1 prophage *in-trans* which could be a possible explanation for the increase in the production of Stx. This could be the mechanism as to why STEC

strains that harbour a Stx2c prophage in conjunction with another Stx prophage, in particular the Stx2a prophage, appear to be more virulent than other combinations of Stx prophages.

This thesis also reports the first evidence for Stx phage-mediated horizontal transfer of the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island, as well as the characterisation of this Stx prophage. The mechanism of LEE mobilisation was determined to be likely due to a combination of both generalised and specialised transduction, and the incorporation of the LEE in recipient strains is likely due to homologous recombination. In addition to the discovery that an Stx phage can mediate the mobilisation of the LEE, genomic characterisation of this Stx prophage also revealed that it has a number of phage encoded genes that are predicted to enhance its ability to infect other susceptible bacterial strains by evading bacterial toxin-anti toxin defences and infecting a broad host range.

Overall, the results presented in this thesis suggested that Stx phages contribute more to bacterial pathogenesis than just the conference of the *stx* genes and that there are other interactions between the host and the Stx phage, which have resulted in STEC O157 becoming a successful pathogen.